Amanda Ward

From:

simon fisher <

Sent:

02 April 2020 16:40

To:

Amanda Ward

Subject:

Re: Pinchington Hall, Crookham Hill, RG19 8DQ

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

Dear Amanda,

I hope you can accept an addendum to my email (30 Mar) objecting to the proposed premises licence for Pinchington Hall

I addition to the reasons I have already set out, I should like to point out that there are also child safety concerns associated with the proposal. A number of family homes are under construction immediately adjacent to Pinchington Hall. The noise throughout the night at weekends (even up to the proposed MonThurs limit of 11.00 pm) would lead to sleep deprivation and disturbed learning and behaviour patterns (I speak as a retired Deputy Headteacher with pastoral responsibilities) in any children living in these houses. It would also be irresponsible to allow the provision and consumption of alcohol by a possibly large and unmonitored group of people in such close proximity to the children of the new estate.

With thanks.

Best wishes,

Simon Eisher (and Balu Sudra) aundry Cottages, RG198BR

On 1 Apr 2020, at 12:24, simon fisher < _

> wrote:

Thank you, Amanda - I appreciate your response.

Best wishes,

Simon.

On 1 Apr 2020, at 10:29, Amanda Ward < Amanda. Ward@westberks.gov.uk > wrote:

Dear Simon

Licensing Act 2003
Representation concerning Premises Licence
Pinchington Hall, Crookham Hill, Crookham Common, Thatcham,
RG19 8DQ

Thank you for your representation regarding the above application.

Your comments will be made available to the applicant and any comments in answer will be communicated to you either directly by the applicant or through this department.

If a hearing of the application before the Licensing Sub-Committee is necessary, details of the date and time will be sent out by West Berkshire Council Strategic Support at least ten days before the date of the hearing.

Yours sincerely

100

Amanda Ward Lead Officer Licensing

Public Protection Partnership

A shared service provided by Bracknell Forest Council, West Berkshire Council and Wokingham Borough Council.

(01635) 519976 (external)

Ext no 2976 amanda.ward@westberks.gov.uk

Please note I do not work on a Wednesday

<image001.jpg> <image002.jpg> <image</pre>

web:

twitter:

www.publicprotectionpartnership.org@publicprotectionpartnership

@PublicPP UK

facebook:

Publi Protectic Partnershi

From: simon fisher [mailto:sir

Sent: 30 March 2020 16:26

To: Licensing < Licensing@westberks.gov.uk>

Cc: Balu Sudra <

.>

Subject: Pinchington Hall, Crookham Hill, RG19 8DQ

This is an EXTERNAL EMAIL. STOP. THINK before you CLICK links or OPEN attachments.

Dear Sir or Madam,

We strongly oppose the application for a premises licence for the above property.

It is incompatible with the original planning consent (13/01637/FULLMAJ) which reads: in the event that the residential use of the converted building is not brought into use or does not continue; the change of use of the Crookham House building to offices (Use Class B1(a)). We note that the applicant, in section J, wishes "to permit the sale of alcohol 24 hours daily to residents and bona-fide guests of the hotel (sic)". And again, in section L "the premises shall remain open 24 hours a day for hotel (sic) residents..." Planning was granted (see

above paragraph) for residential use or, failing that, for office use. There is no mention of using the Hall as a hotel. Furthermore, there is not adequate provision of toilets on the premises.

Our opposition, therefore, is on the following grounds:

- There is a contradiction between this application and the planning consents granted to the Hall.
- We live about 450 yards away from the proposed licensed premises, at Laundry Cottages, Crookham Common Road, RG198BR. The aural disruption of films being shown, or music played, either indoors or outdoors, until 2.00 am or even "for pre-booked events" until 3.00 am would be intolerable to us personally and in this rural/residential area certainly a public nuisance. The property is to the west of our house and the prevailing wind is from that direction. Across the peace of Crookham Common the nuisance would be severe. In addition, the rich wildlife birds and mammals would undoubtedly suffer.
- Access to the property in question is from Crookham Hill, a C-class rural road with sharp bends and a steep hill, opposite and close to a medium secure detention unit. Ingress and egress of considerable numbers of vehicles would be a safety issue.
- The sale of alcohol, potentially 24 hours a day (see section J), brings with it the danger of disruption and anti-social behaviour. The local police are overstretched as it is, and the Hall is some way from Newbury Police Station. The application does not tend, therefore, towards the prevention of crime and disorder or public nuisance, but indeed makes both more likely.

We remain

Yours faithfully,

Simon Fisher and Balkrishan Sudra, Laundry Cottages RG19 8BR.

This email and any attachments to it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any views or opinions expressed may not necessarily represent those of West Berkshire Council. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must neither take any action based upon its contents, nor copy or show it to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this e-mail in error. All communication sent to or from West Berkshire Council may be subject to recording and or monitoring in accordance with UK legislation, are subject to the requirements of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 and may therefore be disclosed to a third party on request.